



PRINCIPLES & PRACTICE OF
PHYSICS

ERIC MAZUR

PRINCIPLES & PRACTICE OF PHYSICS

Eric Mazur

Harvard University

With contributions from

Catherine H. Crouch

Swarthmore College

Peter A. Dourmashkin

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Hoboken
Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montréal Toronto
Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo

Executive Editor: Becky Ruden
Publisher: Jim Smith
Project Managers: Beth Collins and Martha Steele
Program Manager: Katie Conley
Managing Development Editor: Cathy Murphy
Senior Development Editor: Margot Otway
Development Editor: Irene Nunes
Editorial Assistant: Sarah Kaubisch
Text Permissions Project Manager: Liz Kincaid
Text Permissions Specialist: Paul Sarkis
Associate Content Producer: Megan Power
Production Management: Rose Kernan
Copyeditor: Carol Reitz
Compositor: Cenveo® Publisher Services
Design Manager: Mark Ong
Interior Designer: Hespenheide Design
Cover Designer: Tandem Creative, Inc.
Illustrators: Rolin Graphics
Photo Permissions Management: Maya Melenchuk
Photo Researcher: Eric Schrader
Manufacturing Buyer: Jeff Sargent
Vice-President of Marketing: Christy Lesko
Marketing Manager: Will Moore
Senior Marketing Development Manager: Michelle Cadden

Cover Photo Credit: Franklin Kappa

Credits and acknowledgments borrowed from other sources and reproduced, with permission, in this textbook appear on the appropriate page within the text or on p. C-1.

Copyright ©2015 Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America. This publication is protected by Copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. To obtain permission(s) to use material from this work, please submit a written request to Pearson Education, Inc., Permissions Department, One Lake Street, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458. For information regarding permissions, call (847) 486-2635.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial caps or all caps.

MasteringPhysics is a trademark, in the U.S. and/or other countries, of Pearson Education, Inc. or its affiliates.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data on file.

ISBN 10: 0-321-94920-X; ISBN 13: 978-0-321-94920-2 (Student edition)
ISBN 10: 0-321-95836-5; ISBN 13: 978-0-321-95836-5 (Books a la carte)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10—DOW—18 17 16 15 14

PEARSON


www.pearsonhighered.com

Brief Contents

Volume 1 of *Principles of Physics* includes Chapters 1–21. Volume 2 of *Principles of Physics* includes Chapters 22–34.

Chapter 1	Foundations	1
Chapter 2	Motion in One Dimension	28
Chapter 3	Acceleration	53
Chapter 4	Momentum	75
Chapter 5	Energy	101
Chapter 6	Principle of Relativity	121
Chapter 7	Interactions	148
Chapter 8	Force	176
Chapter 9	Work	202
Chapter 10	Motion in a Plane	226
Chapter 11	Motion in a Circle	254
Chapter 12	Torque	281
Chapter 13	Gravity	308
Chapter 14	Special Relativity	337
Chapter 15	Periodic Motion	374
Chapter 16	Waves in One Dimension	400
Chapter 17	Waves in Two and Three Dimensions	432
Chapter 18	Fluids	463
Chapter 19	Entropy	501
Chapter 20	Energy Transferred Thermally	530
Chapter 21	Degradation of Energy	562
Chapter 22	Electric Interactions	593
Chapter 23	The Electric Field	615
Chapter 24	Gauss's Law	639
Chapter 25	Work and Energy in Electrostatics	663
Chapter 26	Charge Separation and Storage	685
Chapter 27	Magnetic Interactions	710
Chapter 28	Magnetic Fields of Charged Particles in Motion	735
Chapter 29	Changing Magnetic Fields	759
Chapter 30	Changing Electric Fields	781
Chapter 31	Electric Circuits	811
Chapter 32	Electronics	842
Chapter 33	Ray Optics	875
Chapter 34	Wave and Particle Optics	908

About the Author



Eric Mazur is the Balkanski Professor of Physics and Applied Physics at Harvard University and Area Dean of Applied Physics. Dr. Mazur is a renowned scientist and researcher in optical physics and in education research, and a sought-after author and speaker.

Dr. Mazur joined the faculty at Harvard shortly after obtaining his Ph.D. at the University of Leiden in the Netherlands. In 2012 he was awarded an Honorary Doctorate from the École Polytechnique and the University of Montreal. He is a Member of the Royal Academy of Sciences of the Netherlands and holds honorary professorships at the Institute of Semiconductor Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, the Institute of Laser Engineering at the Beijing University of Technology, and the Beijing Normal University.

Dr. Mazur has held appointments as Visiting Professor or Distinguished Lecturer at Carnegie Mellon University, the Ohio State University, the Pennsylvania State University, Princeton University, Vanderbilt University, Hong Kong University, the University of Leuven in Belgium, and National Taiwan University in Taiwan, among others.

In addition to his work in optical physics, Dr. Mazur is interested in education, science policy, outreach, and the public perception of science. In 1990 he began developing peer instruction, a method for teaching large lecture classes interactively. This teaching method has developed a large following, both nationally and internationally, and has been adopted across many science disciplines.

Dr. Mazur is author or co-author of over 250 scientific publications and holds two dozen patents. He has also written on education and is the author of *Peer Instruction: A User's Manual* (Pearson, 1997), a book that explains how to teach large lecture classes interactively. In 2006 he helped produce the award-winning DVD *Interactive Teaching*. He is the co-founder of Learning Catalytics, a platform for promoting interactive problem solving in the classroom, which is available in MasteringPhysics®.

To the Student

Let me tell you a bit about myself.

I always knew exactly what I wanted to do. It just never worked out that way.

When I was seven years old, my grandfather gave me a book about astronomy. Growing up in the Netherlands I became fascinated by the structure of the solar system, the Milky Way, the universe. I remember struggling with the concept of infinite space and asking endless questions without getting satisfactory answers. I developed an early passion for space and space exploration. I knew I was going to be an astronomer. In high school I was good at physics, but when I entered university and had to choose a major, I chose astronomy.

It took only a few months for my romance with the heavens to unravel. Instead of teaching me about the mysteries and structure of the universe, astronomy had been reduced to a mind-numbing web of facts, from declinations and right ascensions to semi-major axes and eccentricities. Disillusioned about astronomy, I switched majors to physics. Physics initially turned out to be no better than astronomy, and I struggled to remain engaged. I managed to make it through my courses, often by rote memorization, but the beauty of science eluded me.

It wasn't until doing research in graduate school that I rediscovered the beauty of science. I knew one thing for sure, though: I was never going to be an academic. I was going to do something useful in my life. Just before obtaining my doctorate, I lined up my dream job working on the development of the compact disc, but I decided to spend one year doing postdoctoral research first.

It was a long year. After my postdoc, I accepted a junior faculty position and started teaching. That's when I discovered that the combination of doing research—uncovering the mysteries of the universe—and teaching—helping

others to see the beauty of the universe—is a wonderful combination.

When I started teaching, I did what all teachers did at the time: lecture. It took almost a decade to discover that my award-winning lecturing did for my students exactly what the courses I took in college had done for me: It turned the subject that I was teaching into a collection of facts that my students memorized by rote. Instead of transmitting the beauty of my field, I was essentially regurgitating facts to my students.

When I discovered that my students were not mastering even the most basic principles, I decided to completely change my approach to teaching. Instead of lecturing, I asked students to read my lecture notes at home, and then, in class, I taught by questioning—by asking my students to reflect on concepts, discuss in pairs, and experience their own “aha!” moments.

Over the course of more than twenty years, the lecture notes have evolved into this book. Consider this book to be my best possible “lecturing” to you. But instead of listening to me without having the opportunity to reflect and think, this book will permit you to pause and think; to hopefully experience many “aha!” moments on your own.

I hope this book will help you develop the thinking skills that will make you successful in your career. And remember: your future may be—and likely will be—very different from what you imagine.

I welcome any feedback you have. Feel free to send me email or tweets.

I wrote this book for you.

Eric Mazur
@eric_mazur
mazur@harvard.edu
Cambridge, MA

To the Instructor

They say that the person who teaches is the one who learns the most in the classroom. Indeed, teaching led me to many unexpected insights. So, also, with the writing of this book, which has been a formidably exciting intellectual journey.

Why write a new physics text?

In May 1993 I was driving to Troy, NY, to speak at a meeting held in honor of Robert Resnick's retirement. In the car with me was a dear friend and colleague, Albert Altman, professor at the University of Massachusetts, Lowell. He asked me if I was familiar with the approach to physics taken by Ernst Mach in his popular lectures. I wasn't. Mach treats conservation of momentum before discussing the laws of motion, and his formulation of mechanics had a profound influence on Einstein.

The idea of using conservation principles derived from experimental observations as the basis for a text—rather than Newton's laws and the concept of force—appealed to me immediately. After all, most physicists never use the concept of force because it relates only to mechanics. It has no role in quantum physics, for example. The conservation principles, however, hold throughout all of physics. In that sense they are much more fundamental than Newton's laws. Furthermore, conservation principles involve only algebra, whereas Newton's second law is a differential equation.

It occurred to me, however, that Mach's approach could be taken further. Wouldn't it be nice to start with conservation of both momentum *and* energy, and only *later* bring in the concept of force? After all, physics education research has shown that the concept of force is fraught with pitfalls. What's more, after tediously deriving many results using kinematics and dynamics, most physics textbooks show that you can derive the same results from conservation principles in just one or two lines. Why not do it the easy way first?

It took me many years to reorganize introductory physics around the conservation principles, but the resulting approach is one that is much more unified and modern—the conservation principles are the theme that runs throughout this entire book.

Additional motives for writing this text came from my own teaching. Most textbooks focus on the acquisition of information and on the development of procedural knowledge. This focus comes at the expense of conceptual understanding or the ability to transfer knowledge to a new context. As explained below, I have structured this text to redress that balance. I also have drawn deeply on the results of physics education research, including that of my own research group.

I have written this text to be accessible and easy for students to understand. My hope is that it can take on the burden of basic teaching, freeing class time for synthesis, discussion, and problem solving.

Setting a new standard

The tenacity of the standard approach in textbooks can be attributed to a combination of inertia and familiarity. Teaching large introductory courses is a major chore, and once a course is developed, changing it is not easy. Furthermore, the standard texts worked for *us*, so it's natural to feel that they should work for our students, too.

The fallacy in the latter line of reasoning is now well-known thanks to education research. Very few of our students are like us at all. Most take physics because they are required to do so; many will take no physics beyond the introductory course. Physics education research makes it clear that the standard approach fails these students.

Because of pressure on physics departments to deliver better education to non-majors, changes are occurring in the way physics is taught. These changes, in turn, create a need for a textbook that embodies a new educational philosophy in both format and presentation.

Organization of this book

As I considered the best way to convey the conceptual framework of mechanics, it became clear that the standard curriculum truly deserved to be rethought. For example, standard texts are forced to redefine certain concepts more than once—a strategy that we know befuddles students. (Examples are *work*, the standard definition of which is incompatible with the first law of thermodynamics, and *energy*, which is redefined when modern physics is discussed.)

Another point that has always bothered me is the arbitrary division between “modern” and “classical” physics. In most texts, the first thirty-odd chapters present physics essentially as it was known at the end of the 19th century; “modern physics” gets tacked on at the end. There's no need for this separation. Our goal should be to explain physics in the way that works best for students, using our full contemporary understanding. *All* physics is modern!

That is why my table of contents departs from the “standard organization” in the following specific ways.

Emphasis on conservation laws. As mentioned earlier, this book introduces the conservation laws early and treats them the way they should be: as the backbone of physics. The advantages of this shift are many. First, it avoids many of the standard pitfalls related to the concept of force, and it leads naturally to the two-body character of forces and the laws of motion. Second, the conservation laws enable students to solve a wide variety of problems without any calculus. Indeed, for complex systems, the conservation laws are often the natural (or only) way to solve problems. Third, the book deduces the conservation laws from observations, helping to make clear their connection with the world around us.

Table 1 Scheduling matrix

Topic	Chapters	Can be inserted after chapter...	Chapters that can be omitted without affecting continuity
Mechanics	1–14		6, 13–14
Waves	15–17	12	16–17
Fluids	18	9	
Thermal Physics	19–21	10	21
Electricity & Magnetism	22–30	12 (but 17 is needed for 29–30)	29–30
Circuits	31–32	26 (but 30 is needed for 32)	32
Optics	33–34	17	34

I and several other instructors have tested this approach extensively in our classes and found markedly improved performance on problems involving momentum and energy, with large gains on assessment instruments like the Force Concept Inventory.

Early emphasis on the concept of system. Fundamental to most physical models is the separation of a system from its environment. This separation is so basic that physicists tend to carry it out unconsciously, and traditional texts largely gloss over it. This text introduces the concept in the context of conservation principles and uses it consistently.

Postponement of vectors. Most introductory physics concerns phenomena that take place along one dimension. Problems that involve more than one dimension can be broken down into one-dimensional problems using vectorial notation. So a solid understanding of physics in one dimension is of fundamental importance. However, by introducing vectors in more than one dimension from the start, standard texts distract the student from the basic concepts of kinematics.

In this book, I develop the complete framework of mechanics for motions and interactions in one dimension. I introduce the second dimension when it is needed, starting with rotational motion. Hence, students are free to concentrate on the actual physics.

Just-in-time introduction of concepts. Wherever possible, I introduce concepts only when they are necessary. This approach allows students to put ideas into immediate practice, leading to better assimilation.

Integration of modern physics. A survey of syllabi shows that less than half the calculus-based courses in the United States cover modern physics. I have therefore integrated selected “modern” topics throughout the text. For example, special relativity is covered in Chapter 14, at the end of mechanics. Chapter 32, Electronics, includes sections on semiconductors and semiconductor devices. Chapter 34, Wave and Particle Optics, contains sections on quantization and photons.

Modularity. I have written the book in a modular fashion so it can accommodate a variety of curricula (See Table 1, “Scheduling matrix”).

The book contains two major parts, Mechanics and Electricity and Magnetism, plus five shorter parts. The two major

parts by themselves can support an in-depth two-semester or three-quarter course that presents a complete picture of physics embodying the fundamental ideas of modern physics. Additional parts can be added for a longer or faster-paced course. The five shorter parts are more or less self-contained, although they do build on previous material, so their placement is flexible. Within each part or chapter, more advanced or difficult material is placed at the end.

Pedagogy

This text draws on many models and techniques derived from my own teaching and from physics education research. The following are major themes that I have incorporated throughout.

Separation of conceptual and mathematical frameworks. Each chapter is divided into two parts: Concepts and Quantitative Tools. The first part, Concepts, develops the full conceptual framework of the topic and addresses many of the common questions students have. It concentrates on the underlying ideas and paints the big picture, whenever possible without equations. The second part of the chapter, Quantitative Tools, then develops the mathematical framework.

Deductive approach; focus on ideas before names and equations. To the extent possible, this text develops arguments deductively, starting from observations, rather than stating principles and then “deriving” them. This approach makes the material easier to assimilate for students. In the same vein, this text introduces and explains each idea before giving it a formal name or mathematical definition.

Stronger connection to experiment and experience. Physics stems from observations, and this text is structured so that it can do the same. As much as possible, I develop the material from experimental observations (and preferably those that students can make) rather than assertions. Most chapters use actual data in developing ideas, and new notions are always introduced by going from the specific to the general—whenever possible by interpreting everyday examples.

By contrast, standard texts often introduce laws in their most general form and then show that these laws are consistent with specific (and often highly idealized) cases. Consequently the world of physics and the “real” world remain two different things in the minds of students.

Addressing physical complications. I also strongly oppose presenting unnatural situations; real life complications must always be confronted head-on. For example, the use of unphysical words like *frictionless* or *massless* sends a message to the students that physics is unrealistic or, worse, that the world of physics and the *real* world are unrelated entities. This can easily be avoided by pointing out that friction or mass may be neglected under certain circumstances and pointing out *why* this may be done.

Engaging the student. Education is more than just transfer of information. Engaging the student's mind so the information can be assimilated is essential. To this end, the text is written as a dialog between author and reader (often invoking the reader—*you*—in examples) and is punctuated by Checkpoints—questions that require the reader to stop and think. The text following a Checkpoint often refers directly to its conclusions. Students will find complete solutions to all the Checkpoints at the back of the book; these solutions are written to emphasize physical reasoning and discovery.

Visualization. Visual representations are central to physics, so I developed each chapter by designing the figures before writing the text. Many figures use multiple representations to help students make connections (for example, a sketch may be combined with a graph and a bar diagram). Also, in accordance with research, the illustration style is spare and simple, putting the emphasis on the ideas and relationships rather than on irrelevant details. The figures do not use perspective unless it is needed, for instance.

Structure of this text

Division into *Principles* and *Practice* books

I've divided this text into a *Principles* book, which teaches the physics, and a *Practice* book, which puts the physics into practice and develops problem-solving skills. This division helps address two separate intellectually demanding tasks: understanding the physics and learning to solve problems. When these two tasks are mixed together, as they are in standard texts, students are easily overwhelmed. Consequently many students focus disproportionately on worked examples and procedural knowledge, at the expense of the physics.

Structure of *Principles* chapters

As pointed out earlier, each *Principles* chapter is divided into two parts. The first part (Concepts) develops the conceptual framework in an accessible way, relying primarily on qualitative descriptions and illustrations. In addition to including Checkpoints, each Concepts section ends with a one-page Self-quiz consisting of qualitative questions.

The second part of each chapter (Quantitative Tools) formalizes the ideas developed in the first part in mathematical terms. While concise, it is relatively traditional in nature—teachers should be able to continue to use material developed for earlier courses. To avoid creating the impression

that equations are more important than the concepts behind them, no equations are highlighted or boxed.

Both parts of the *Principles* chapters contain worked examples to help students develop problem-solving skills.

Structure of the *Practice* chapters

This book contains material to put into practice the concepts and principles developed in the corresponding chapters in the *Principles* book. Each chapter contains the following sections:

1. *Chapter Summary.* This section provides a brief tabular summary of the material presented in the corresponding *Principles* chapter.
2. *Review Questions.* The goal of this section is to allow students to quickly review the corresponding *Principles* chapter. The questions are straightforward one-liners starting with “what” and “how” (rather than “why” or “what if”).
3. *Developing a Feel.* The goals of this section are to develop a quantitative feel for the quantities introduced in the chapter; to connect the subject of the chapter to the real world; to train students in making estimates and assumptions; to bolster students' confidence in dealing with unfamiliar material. It can be used for self-study or for a homework or recitation assignment. This section, which has no equivalent in existing books, combines a number of ideas (specifically, Fermi problems and tutoring in the style of the *Princeton Learning Guide*). The idea is to start with simple estimation problems and then build up to Fermi problems (in early chapters Fermi problems are hard to compose because few concepts have been introduced). Because students initially find these questions hard, the section provides many hints, which take the form of questions. A key then provides answers to these “hints.”
4. *Worked and Guided Problems.* This section contains complex worked examples whose primary goal is to teach problem solving. The Worked Problems are fully solved; the Guided Problems have a list of questions and suggestions to help the student think about how to solve the problem. Typically, each Worked Problem is followed by a related Guided Problem.
5. *Questions and Problems.* This is the chapter's problem set. The problems 1) offer a range of levels, 2) include problems relating to client disciplines (life sciences, engineering, chemistry, astronomy, etc.), 3) use the second person as much as possible to draw in the student, and 4) do not spoon-feed the students with information and unnecessary diagrams. The problems are classified into three levels as follows: (⊗) application of single concept; numerical plug-and-chug; (⊗⊗) nonobvious application of single concept or application of multiple concepts from current chapter; straightforward numerical or algebraic computation; (⊗⊗⊗) application of multiple concepts, possibly spanning multiple chapters. Context-rich problems are designated CR.

As I was developing and class-testing this book, my students provided extensive feedback. I have endeavored to

incorporate all of their feedback to make the book as useful as possible for future generations of students. In addition, the book was class-tested at a large number of institutions, and many of these institutions have reported significant increases in learning gains after switching to this manuscript. I am confident the book will help increase the learning gains in your class as well. It will help you, as the instructor, coach your students to be the best they can be.

Instructor supplements

The **Instructor Resource DVD** (ISBN 978-0-321-56175-6/0-321-56175-9) includes an Image Library, the Procedure and special topic boxes from *Principles*, and a library of presentation applets from **ActivPhysics**, PhET simulations, and PhET Clicker Questions. **Lecture Outlines** with embedded **Clicker Questions in PowerPoint®** are provided, as well as the *Instructor's Guide* and *Instructor's Solutions Manual*.

The *Instructor's Guide* (ISBN 978-0-321-94993-6/0-321-94993-5) provides chapter-by-chapter ideas for lesson planning using *Principles & Practice of Physics* in class, including strategies for addressing common student difficulties.

The *Instructor's Solutions Manual* (ISBN 978-0-321-95053-6/0-321-95053-4) is a comprehensive solutions manual containing complete answers and solutions to all Developing a Feel questions, Guided Problems, and Questions and Problems from the *Practice* book. The solutions to the Guided Problems use the book's four-step problem-solving strategy (Getting Started, Devise Plan, Execute Plan, Evaluate Result).

MasteringPhysics® is the leading online homework, tutorial, and assessment product designed to improve results by helping students quickly master concepts. Students benefit from self-paced tutorials that feature specific wrong-answer feedback, hints, and a wide variety of educationally effective content to keep them engaged and on track. Robust diagnostics and unrivalled gradebook reporting allow instructors to pinpoint the weaknesses and misconceptions of a student or class to provide timely intervention.

MasteringPhysics enables instructors to:

- Easily assign **tutorials** that provide individualized coaching.
- Mastering's hallmark **Hints** and **Feedback** offer scaffolded instruction similar to what students would experience in an office hour.

- **Hints** (declarative and Socratic) can provide problem-solving strategies or break the main problem into simpler exercises.
- **Feedback** lets the student know precisely what misconception or misunderstanding is evident from their answer and offers ideas to consider when attempting the problem again.

Learning Catalytics™ is a “bring your own device” student engagement, assessment, and classroom intelligence system available within MasteringPhysics. With Learning Catalytics you can:

- Assess students in real time, using open-ended tasks to probe student understanding.
- Understand immediately where students are and adjust your lecture accordingly.
- Improve your students' critical-thinking skills.
- Access rich analytics to understand student performance.
- Add your own questions to make Learning Catalytics fit your course exactly.
- Manage student interactions with intelligent grouping and timing.

The **Test Bank** (ISBN 978-0-130-64688-0/0-130-64688-1) contains more than 2000 high-quality problems, with a range of multiple-choice, true-false, short-answer, and conceptual questions correlated to *Principles & Practice of Physics* chapters. Test files are provided in both TestGen® and Microsoft® Word for Mac and PC.

Instructor supplements are available on the Instructor Resource DVD, the Instructor Resource Center at www.pearsonhighered.com/irc, and in the Instructor Resource area at www.masteringphysics.com.

Student supplements

MasteringPhysics (www.masteringphysics.com) is designed to provide students with customized coaching and individualized feedback to help improve problem-solving skills. Students complete homework efficiently and effectively with tutorials that provide targeted help.

Interactive eText allows you to highlight text, add your own study notes, and review your instructor's personalized notes, 24/7. The eText is available through MasteringPhysics, www.masteringphysics.com.

Acknowledgments

This book would not exist without the contributions from many people. It was Tim Bozik, currently President, Higher Education at Pearson plc, who first approached me about writing a physics textbook. If it wasn't for his persuasion and his belief in me, I don't think I would have ever undertaken the writing of a textbook. Tim's suggestion to develop the art electronically also had a major impact on my approach to the development of the visual part of this book.

Albert Altman pointed out Ernst Mach's approach to developing mechanics starting with the law of conservation of momentum. Al encouraged me throughout the years as I struggled to reorganize the material around the conservation principles.

I am thankful to Irene Nunes, who served as Development Editor through several iterations of the manuscript. Irene forced me to continuously rethink what I had written and her insights in physics kept surprising me. Her incessant questioning taught me that one doesn't need to be a science major to obtain a deep understanding of how the world around us works and that it is possible to explain physics in a way that makes sense for non-physics majors.

Catherine Crouch helped write the final chapters of electricity and magnetism and the chapters on circuits and optics, permitting me to focus on the overall approach and the art program. Peter Dourmashkin helped me write the chapters on special relativity and thermodynamics. Without his help, I would not have been able to rethink how to introduce the ideas of modern physics in a consistent way.

Many people provided feedback during the development of the manuscript. I am particularly indebted to the late Ronald Newburgh and to Edward Ginsberg, who meticulously checked many of the chapters. I am also grateful to Edwin Taylor for his critical feedback on the special relativity chapter and to my colleague Gary Feldman for his suggestions for improving that chapter.

Lisa Morris provided material for many of the Self-quizzes and my graduate students James Carey, Mark Winkler, and

Ben Franta helped with data analysis and the appendices. I would also like to thank my uncle, Erich Lessing, for letting me use some of his beautiful pictures as chapter openers.

Many people helped put together the *Practice* book. Without Daryl Pedigo's hard work authoring and editing content, as well as coordinating the contributions to that book, the manuscript would never have taken shape. Along with Daryl, the following people provided the material for the *Practice* book: Wayne Anderson, Bill Ashmanskas, Linda Barton, Ronald Bieniek, Michael Boss, Anthony Buffa, Catherine Crouch, Peter Dourmashkin, Paul Draper, Andrew Duffy, Edward Ginsberg, William Hogan, Gerd Kortemeyer, Rafael Lopez-Mobilia, Christopher Porter, David Rosengrant, Gay Stewart, Christopher Watts, Lawrence Weinstein, Fred Wietfeldt, and Michael Wofsey.

I would also like to thank the editorial and production staff at Pearson. Margot Otway helped realize my vision for the art program. Martha Steele and Beth Collins made sure the production stayed on track. In addition, I would like to thank Frank Chmely for his meticulous accuracy checking of the manuscript. I am indebted to Jim Smith and Becky Ruden for supporting me through the final stages of this process and to Carol Trueheart, Alison Reeves, and Christian Botting of Prentice Hall for keeping me on track during the early stages of the writing of this book. Finally, I am grateful to Will Moore for his enthusiasm in developing the marketing program for this book.

I am also grateful to the participants of the NSF Faculty Development Conference "Teaching Physics Conservation Laws First" held in Cambridge, MA, in 1997. This conference helped validate and cement the approach in this book.

Finally, I am indebted to the hundreds of students in Physics 1, Physics 11, and Applied Physics 50 who used early versions of this text in their course and provided the feedback that ended up turning my manuscript into a text that works not just for instructors but, more importantly, for students.

Reviewers of *Principles & Practice of Physics*

Over the years many people reviewed and class-tested the manuscript. The author and publisher are grateful for all of the feedback the reviewers provided, and we apologize if there are any names on this list that have been inadvertently omitted.

Edward Adelson, *Ohio State University*
 Albert Altman, *University of Massachusetts, Lowell*
 Susan Amador Kane, *Haverford College*
 James Andrews, *Youngstown State University*
 Arnold Arons, *University of Washington*
 Robert Beichner, *North Carolina State University*
 Bruce Birkett, *University of California, Berkeley*
 David Branning, *Trinity College*
 Bernard Chasan, *Boston University*
 Stéphane Coutu, *Pennsylvania State University*
 Corbin Covault, *Case Western Reserve University*
 Catherine Crouch, *Swarthmore College*
 Paul D'Alessandris, *Monroe Community College*
 Paul Debevec, *University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*
 N. John DiNardo, *Drexel University*
 Margaret Dobrowolska-Furdyna, *Notre Dame University*
 Paul Draper, *University of Texas, Arlington*
 David Elmore, *Purdue University*
 Robert Endorf, *University of Cincinnati*
 Thomas Furtak, *Colorado School of Mines*
 Ian Gatland, *Georgia Institute of Technology*
 J. David Gavenda, *University of Texas, Austin*
 Edward Ginsberg, *University of Massachusetts, Boston*
 Gary Gladding, *University of Illinois*
 Christopher Gould, *University of Southern California*
 Victoria Greene, *Vanderbilt University*
 Benjamin Grinstein, *University of California, San Diego*
 Kenneth Hardy, *Florida International University*
 Gregory Hassold, *Kettering University*
 Peter Heller, *Brandeis University*
 Laurent Hodges, *Iowa State University*
 Mark Holtz, *Texas Tech University*
 Zafar Ismail, *Daemen College*
 Ramanathan Jambunathan, *University of Wisconsin Oshkosh*
 Brad Johnson, *Western Washington University*
 Dorina Kosztin, *University of Missouri Columbia*
 Arthur Kovacs, *Rochester Institute of Technology* (deceased)
 Dale Long, *Virginia Polytechnic Institute* (deceased)

John Lyon, *Dartmouth College*
 Trecia Markes, *University of Nebraska, Kearney*
 Peter Markowitz, *Florida International University*
 Bruce Mason, *University of Oklahoma*
 John McCullen, *University of Arizona*
 James McGuire, *Tulane University*
 Timothy McKay, *University of Michigan*
 Carl Michal, *University of British Columbia*
 Kimball Milton, *University of Oklahoma*
 Charles Misner, *University of Maryland, College Park*
 Sudipa Mitra-Kirtley, *Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology*
 Delo Mook, *Dartmouth College*
 Lisa Morris, *Washington State University*
 Edmund Myers, *Florida State University*
 Alan Nathan, *University of Illinois*
 K.W. Nicholson, *Central Alabama Community College*
 Fredrick Olness, *Southern Methodist University*
 Dugan O'Neil, *Simon Fraser University*
 Patrick Papin, *San Diego State University*
 George Parker, *North Carolina State University*
 Claude Penchina, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*
 William Pollard, *Valdosta State University*
 Amy Pope, *Clemson University*
 Joseph Priest, *Miami University* (deceased)
 Joel Primack, *University of California, Santa Cruz*
 Rex Ramsier, *University of Akron*
 Steven Rauseo, *University of Pittsburgh*
 Lawrence Rees, *Brigham Young University*
 Carl Rotter, *West Virginia University*
 Leonard Scarfone, *University of Vermont*
 Michael Schatz, *Georgia Institute of Technology*
 Cindy Schwarz, *Vassar College*
 Hugh Scott, *Illinois Institute of Technology*
 Janet Segar, *Creighton University*
 Shahid Shaheen, *Florida State University*
 David Sokoloff, *University of Oregon*
 Gay Stewart, *University of Arkansas*
 Roger Stockbauer, *Louisiana State University*
 William Sturuss, *Youngstown State University*
 Carl Tomizuka, *University of Illinois*
 Mani Tripathi, *University of California–Davis*
 Rebecca Trousil, *Skidmore College*
 Christopher Watts, *Auburn University*
 Robert Weidman, *Michigan Technological University*
 Ranjith Wijesinghe, *Ball State University*
 Augden Windelborn, *Northern Illinois University*

Detailed Contents

About the Author	iv
To the Student	v
To the Instructor	vi
Acknowledgments	x

Chapter 1 Foundations 1

1.1	The scientific method	2
1.2	Symmetry	4
1.3	Matter and the universe	6
1.4	Time and change	8
1.5	Representations	9
1.6	Physical quantities and units	14
1.7	Significant digits	17
1.8	Solving problems	20
1.9	Developing a feel	23

Chapter 2 Motion in One Dimension 28

2.1	From reality to model	29
2.2	Position and displacement	30
2.3	Representing motion	32
2.4	Average speed and average velocity	34
2.5	Scalars and vectors	39
2.6	Position and displacement vectors	41
2.7	Velocity as a vector	45
2.8	Motion at constant velocity	46
2.9	Instantaneous velocity	48



Chapter 3 Acceleration 53

3.1	Changes in velocity	54
3.2	Acceleration due to gravity	55
3.3	Projectile motion	57
3.4	Motion diagrams	59
3.5	Motion with constant acceleration	63

3.6	Free-fall equations	66
3.7	Inclined planes	69
3.8	Instantaneous acceleration	70

Chapter 4 Momentum 75

4.1	Friction	76
4.2	Inertia	76
4.3	What determines inertia?	80
4.4	Systems	81
4.5	Inertial standard	86
4.6	Momentum	87
4.7	Isolated systems	89
4.8	Conservation of momentum	94

Chapter 5 Energy 101

5.1	Classification of collisions	102
5.2	Kinetic energy	103
5.3	Internal energy	105
5.4	Closed systems	108
5.5	Elastic collisions	112
5.6	Inelastic collisions	115
5.7	Conservation of energy	116
5.8	Explosive separations	118

Chapter 6 Principle of Relativity 121

6.1	Relativity of motion	122
6.2	Inertial reference frames	124
6.3	Principle of relativity	126
6.4	Zero-momentum reference frame	130
6.5	Galilean relativity	133
6.6	Center of mass	137
6.7	Convertible kinetic energy	142
6.8	Conservation laws and relativity	145

Chapter 7 Interactions 148

7.1	The effects of interactions	149
7.2	Potential energy	152
7.3	Energy dissipation	153
7.4	Source energy	156
7.5	Interaction range	158
7.6	Fundamental interactions	160
7.7	Interactions and accelerations	164
7.8	Nondissipative interactions	165
7.9	Potential energy near Earth's surface	168
7.10	Dissipative interactions	171

Chapter 8 Force 176

- 8.1 Momentum and force 177
- 8.2 The reciprocity of forces 178
- 8.3 Identifying forces 180
- 8.4 Translational equilibrium 181
- 8.5 Free-body diagrams 182
- 8.6 Springs and tension 184
- 8.7 Equation of motion 188
- 8.8 Force of gravity 191
- 8.9 Hooke's law 192
- 8.10 Impulse 194
- 8.11 Systems of two interacting objects 196
- 8.12 Systems of many interacting objects 198



Chapter 9 Work 202

- 9.1 Force displacement 203
- 9.2 Positive and negative work 204
- 9.3 Energy diagrams 206
- 9.4 Choice of system 208
- 9.5 Work done on a single particle 213
- 9.6 Work done on a many-particle system 216
- 9.7 Variable and distributed forces 220
- 9.8 Power 223

Chapter 10 Motion in a Plane 226

- 10.1 *Straight* is a relative term 227
- 10.2 Vectors in a plane 228
- 10.3 Decomposition of forces 231
- 10.4 Friction 234
- 10.5 Work and friction 235
- 10.6 Vector algebra 238
- 10.7 Projectile motion in two dimensions 240
- 10.8 Collisions and momentum in two dimensions 242

- 10.9 Work as the product of two vectors 243
- 10.10 Coefficients of friction 248

Chapter 11 Motion in a Circle 254

- 11.1 Circular motion at constant speed 255
- 11.2 Forces and circular motion 259
- 11.3 Rotational inertia 262
- 11.4 Rotational kinematics 264
- 11.5 Angular momentum 269
- 11.6 Rotational inertia of extended objects 274

Chapter 12 Torque 281

- 12.1 Torque and angular momentum 282
- 12.2 Free rotation 285
- 12.3 Extended free-body diagrams 286
- 12.4 The vectorial nature of rotation 288
- 12.5 Conservation of angular momentum 293
- 12.6 Rolling motion 297
- 12.7 Torque and energy 302
- 12.8 The vector product 304

Chapter 13 Gravity 308

- 13.1 Universal gravity 309
- 13.2 Gravity and angular momentum 314
- 13.3 Weight 317
- 13.4 Principle of equivalence 320
- 13.5 Gravitational constant 325
- 13.6 Gravitational potential energy 326
- 13.7 Celestial mechanics 329
- 13.8 Gravitational force exerted by a sphere 334



Chapter 14 Special Relativity 337

- 14.1 Time measurements 338
- 14.2 *Simultaneous* is a relative term 341
- 14.3 Space-time 345

- 14.4 Matter and energy 350
- 14.5 Time dilation 355
- 14.6 Length contraction 360
- 14.7 Conservation of momentum 364
- 14.8 Conservation of energy 368

Chapter 15 Periodic Motion 374

- 15.1 Periodic motion and energy 375
- 15.2 Simple harmonic motion 377
- 15.3 Fourier's theorem 379
- 15.4 Restoring forces in simple harmonic motion 381
- 15.5 Energy of a simple harmonic oscillator 385
- 15.6 Simple harmonic motion and springs 389
- 15.7 Restoring torques 393
- 15.8 Damped oscillations 396




Chapter 16 Waves in One Dimension 400

- 16.1 Representing waves graphically 401
- 16.2 Wave propagation 404
- 16.3 Superposition of waves 409
- 16.4 Boundary effects 411
- 16.5 Wave functions 416
- 16.6 Standing waves 421
- 16.7 Wave speed 424
- 16.8 Energy transport in waves 426
- 16.9 The wave equation 429

Chapter 17 Waves in Two and Three Dimensions 432

- 17.1 Wavefronts 433
- 17.2 Sound 436
- 17.3 Interference 439
- 17.4 Diffraction 445
- 17.5 Intensity 448

- 17.6 Beats 451
- 17.7 Doppler effect 454
- 17.8 Shock waves 459



Chapter 18 Fluids 463

- 18.1 Forces in a fluid 464
- 18.2 Buoyancy 469
- 18.3 Fluid flow 471
- 18.4 Surface effects 475
- 18.5 Pressure and gravity 482
- 18.6 Working with pressure 487
- 18.7 Bernoulli's equation 491
- 18.8 Viscosity and surface tension 494

Chapter 19 Entropy 501

- 19.1 States 502
- 19.2 Equipartition of energy 505
- 19.3 Equipartition of space 507
- 19.4 Evolution toward the most probable macrostate 509
- 19.5 Dependence of entropy on volume 514
- 19.6 Dependence of entropy on energy 519
- 19.7 Properties of a monatomic ideal gas 523
- 19.8 Entropy of a monatomic ideal gas 526

Chapter 20 Energy Transferred Thermally 530

- 20.1 Thermal interactions 530
- 20.2 Temperature measurement 534
- 20.3 Heat capacity 537
- 20.4 PV diagrams and processes 541
- 20.5 Change in energy and work 547

- 20.6 Isochoric and isentropic ideal gas processes 549
- 20.7 Isobaric and isothermal ideal gas processes 551
- 20.8 Entropy change in ideal gas processes 555
- 20.9 Entropy change in nonideal gas processes 559



Chapter 21 Degradation of Energy 562

- 21.1 Converting energy 563
- 21.2 Quality of energy 566
- 21.3 Heat engines and heat pumps 570
- 21.4 Thermodynamic cycles 575
- 21.5 Entropy constraints on energy transfers 580
- 21.6 Heat engine performance 583
- 21.7 Carnot cycle 587
- 21.8 Brayton cycle 589

Chapter 22 Electric Interactions 593

- 22.1 Static electricity 594
- 22.2 Electrical charge 595
- 22.3 Mobility of charge carriers 598
- 22.4 Charge polarization 602
- 22.5 Coulomb's law 606
- 22.6 Force exerted by distributions of charge carriers 610

Chapter 23 The Electric Field 615

- 23.1 The field model 616
- 23.2 Electric field diagrams 618
- 23.3 Superposition of electric fields 619
- 23.4 Electric fields and forces 622
- 23.5 Electric field of a charged particle 626
- 23.6 Dipole field 627

- 23.7 Electric fields of continuous charge distributions 629
- 23.8 Dipoles in electric fields 634

Chapter 24 Gauss's Law 639

- 24.1 Electric field lines 640
- 24.2 Field line density 642
- 24.3 Closed surfaces 643
- 24.4 Symmetry and Gaussian surfaces 645
- 24.5 Charged conducting objects 648
- 24.6 Electric flux 652
- 24.7 Deriving Gauss's law 654
- 24.8 Applying Gauss's law 656



Chapter 25 Work and Energy in Electrostatics 663

- 25.1 Electrical potential energy 664
- 25.2 Electrostatic work 665
- 25.3 Equipotentials 667
- 25.4 Calculating work and energy in electrostatics 671
- 25.5 Potential difference 674
- 25.6 Electric potentials of continuous charge distributions 680
- 25.7 Obtaining the electric field from the potential 682



Chapter 26 Charge Separation and Storage 685

- 26.1 Charge separation 686
- 26.2 Capacitors 688
- 26.3 Dielectrics 692
- 26.4 Voltaic cells and batteries 694
- 26.5 Capacitance 697
- 26.6 Electric field energy and emf 700
- 26.7 Dielectric constant 703
- 26.8 Gauss's law in dielectrics 707

Chapter 27 Magnetic Interactions 710

- 27.1 Magnetism 711
- 27.2 Magnetic fields 713
- 27.3 Charge flow and magnetism 715
- 27.4 Magnetism and relativity 718
- 27.5 Current and magnetism 723
- 27.6 Magnetic flux 725
- 27.7 Moving particles in electric and magnetic fields 727
- 27.8 Magnetism and electricity unified 731

Chapter 28 Magnetic Fields of Charged Particles in Motion 735

- 28.1 Source of the magnetic field 736
- 28.2 Current loops and spin magnetism 737
- 28.3 Magnetic dipole moment and torque 739
- 28.4 Ampèrian paths 742
- 28.5 Ampère's law 746
- 28.6 Solenoids and toroids 749
- 28.7 Magnetic fields due to currents 752
- 28.8 Magnetic field of a moving charged particle 755

Chapter 29 Changing Magnetic Fields 759

- 29.1 Moving conductors in magnetic fields 760
- 29.2 Faraday's law 762
- 29.3 Electric fields accompany changing magnetic fields 763
- 29.4 Lenz's law 764
- 29.5 Induced emf 769
- 29.6 Electric field accompanying a changing magnetic field 773
- 29.7 Inductance 775
- 29.8 Magnetic energy 777




Chapter 30 Changing Electric Fields 781

- 30.1 Magnetic fields accompany changing electric fields 782
- 30.2 Fields of moving charged particles 785
- 30.3 Oscillating dipoles and antennas 788
- 30.4 Displacement current 794
- 30.5 Maxwell's equations 798
- 30.6 Electromagnetic waves 801
- 30.7 Electromagnetic energy 806

Chapter 31 Electric Circuits 811

- 31.1 The basic circuit 812
- 31.2 Current and resistance 814
- 31.3 Junctions and multiple loops 816
- 31.4 Electric fields in conductors 819
- 31.5 Resistance and Ohm's law 824
- 31.6 Single-loop circuits 828
- 31.7 Multiloop circuits 833
- 31.8 Power in electric circuits 838



Chapter 32 Electronics 842

- 32.1 Alternating currents 843
- 32.2 AC circuits 845
- 32.3 Semiconductors 849
- 32.4 Diodes, transistors, and logic gates 851
- 32.5 Reactance 857
- 32.6 RC and RLC series circuits 862
- 32.7 Resonance 869
- 32.8 Power in AC circuits 871

Chapter 33 Optics 875

- 33.1 Rays 876
- 33.2 Absorption, transmission, and reflection 877
- 33.3 Refraction and dispersion 880
- 33.4 Forming images 884
- 33.5 Snel's law 891
- 33.6 Thin lenses and optical instruments 894
- 33.7 Spherical mirrors 901
- 33.8 Lensmaker's formula 904

Chapter 34 Wave and Particle Optics 908

- 34.1 Diffraction of light 909
- 34.2 Diffraction gratings 911
- 34.3 X-ray diffraction 914
- 34.4 Matter waves 918
- 34.5 Photons 919
- 34.6 Multiple-slit interference 923
- 34.7 Thin-film interference 928
- 34.8 Diffraction at a single-slit barrier 930
- 34.9 Circular apertures and limits of resolution 931
- 34.10 Photon energy and momentum 934

Appendix A: Notation A-1

Appendix B: Mathematics Review A-11

Appendix C: Useful Data A-17

Appendix D: Center of Mass of Extended Objects A-21

Appendix E: Derivation of the Lorentz Transformation Equations A-22

Solutions to Checkpoints A-25

Credits C-1

Index I-1

This page left intentionally blank



1

Foundations

- 1.1 The scientific method
- 1.2 Symmetry
- 1.3 Matter and the universe
- 1.4 Time and change
- 1.5 Representations

- 1.6 Physical quantities and units
- 1.7 Significant digits
- 1.8 Solving problems
- 1.9 Developing a feel

Chances are you are taking this course in physics because someone told you to take it, and it may not be clear to you *why* you should be taking it. One good reason for taking a physics course is that, first and foremost, physics provides a fundamental understanding of the world. Furthermore, whether you are majoring in psychology, engineering, biology, physics, or something else, this course offers you an opportunity to sharpen your reasoning skills. Knowing physics means becoming a better problem solver (and I mean *real* problems, not textbook problems that have already been solved), and becoming a better problem solver is empowering: It allows you to step into unknown territory with more confidence. Before we embark on this exciting journey, let's map out the territory we are going to explore so that you know where we are going.


1.1 The scientific method

Physics, from the Greek word for “nature,” is commonly defined as the study of matter and motion. Physics is about discovering the wonderfully simple unifying patterns that underlie absolutely everything that happens around us, from the scale of subatomic particles, to the microscopic world of DNA molecules and cells, to the cosmic scale of stars, galaxies, and planets. Physics deals with atoms and molecules; gases, solids, and liquids; everyday objects, and black holes. Physics explores motion, light, and sound; the creation and annihilation of matter; evaporation and melting; electricity and magnetism. Physics is all around you: in the Sun that provides your daylight, in the structure of your bones, in your computer, in the motion of a ball you throw. In a sense, then, physics is the study of all there is in the universe. Indeed, biology, engineering, chemistry, astronomy, geology, and so many other disciplines you might name all use the principles of physics.

The many remarkable scientific accomplishments of ancient civilizations that survive to this day testify to the fact that curiosity about the world is part of human nature. Physics evolved from *natural philosophy*—a body of knowledge accumulated in ancient times in an attempt to explain the behavior of the universe through philosophical speculation—and became a distinct discipline during the scientific revolution that began in the 16th century. One of the main changes that occurred in that century was the development of the **scientific method**, an iterative process for going from observations to validated theories.

In its simplest form, the scientific method works as follows (**Figure 1.1**): A researcher makes a number of observations concerning either something happening in the natural world (a volcano erupting, for instance) or something happening during a laboratory experiment (a dropped brick and a dropped Styrofoam peanut travel to the floor at different speeds). These observations then lead the researcher to formulate a **hypothesis**, which is a tentative explanation of the observed phenomenon. The hypothesis is used to predict

Figure 1.1 The scientific method is an iterative process in which a hypothesis, which is inferred from observations, is used to make a prediction, which is then tested by making new observations.



the outcome of some related natural occurrence (how a similarly shaped mountain near the erupting volcano will behave) or related laboratory experiment (what happens when a book and a sheet of paper are dropped at the same time). If the predictions prove inaccurate, the hypothesis must be modified. If the predictions prove accurate in test after test, the hypothesis is elevated to the status of either a **law** or a **theory**.

A law tells us *what* happens under certain circumstances. Laws are usually expressed in the form of relationships between observable quantities. A theory tells us *why* something happens and explains phenomena in terms of more basic processes and relationships. A scientific theory is not a mere conjecture or speculation. It is a thoroughly tested explanation of a natural phenomenon, one that is capable of making predictions that can be verified by experiment. The constant testing and retesting are what make the scientific method such a powerful tool for investigating the universe: The results obtained must be repeatable and verifiable by others.

Exercise 1.1 Hypothesis or not?

Which of the following statements are hypotheses? (a) Heavier objects fall to Earth faster than lighter ones. (b) The planet Mars is inhabited by invisible beings that are able to elude any type of observation. (c) Distant planets harbor forms of life. (d) Handling toads causes warts.

SOLUTION (a), (c), and (d). A hypothesis must be experimentally verifiable. (a) I can verify this statement by dropping a heavy object and a lighter one at the same instant and observing which one hits the ground first. (b) This statement asserts that the beings on Mars cannot be observed, which precludes any experimental verification and means this statement is not a valid hypothesis. (c) Although we humans currently have no means of exploring or closely observing distant planets, the statement is in principle testable. (d) Even though we know this statement is false, it *is* verifiable and therefore is a hypothesis.

Because of the constant reevaluation demanded by the scientific method, science is not a stale collection of facts but rather a living and changing body of knowledge. More important, any theory or law *always* remains tentative, and the testing never ends. In other words, it is not possible to

prove any scientific theory or law to be absolutely true (or even absolutely false). Thus the material you will learn in this book does not represent some “ultimate truth”—it is true only to the extent that it has not been proved wrong.

A case in point is *classical mechanics*, a theory developed in the 17th century to describe the motion of everyday objects (and the subject of most of this book). Although this theory produces accurate results for most everyday phenomena, from balls thrown in the air to satellites orbiting Earth, observations made during the last hundred years have revealed that under certain circumstances, significant deviations from this theory occur. It is now clear that classical mechanics is applicable for only a limited (albeit important) range of phenomena, and new branches of physics—*quantum mechanics* and the theory of *special relativity* among them—are needed to describe the phenomena that fall outside the range of classical mechanics.

The formulation of a hypothesis almost always involves developing a **model**, which is a simplified conceptual representation of some phenomenon. You don’t have to be trained as a scientist to develop models. Everyone develops mental models of how people behave, how events unfold, and how things work. Without such models, we would not be able to understand our experiences, decide what actions to take, or handle unexpected experiences. Examples of models we use in everyday life are that door handles and door hinges are on opposite sides of doors and that the + button on a TV remote increases the volume or the channel number. In everyday life, we base our models on whatever knowledge we have, real or imagined, complete or incomplete. In science we must build models based on careful observation and determine ways to fill in any missing information.

Let’s look at the iterative process of developing models and hypotheses in physics, with an eye toward determining what skills are needed and what pitfalls are to be avoided (Figure 1.2). Developing a scientific hypothesis often begins

with recognizing patterns in a series of observations. Sometimes these observations are direct, but sometimes we must settle for indirect observations. (We cannot directly observe the nucleus of an atom, for instance, but a physicist can describe the structure of the nucleus and its behavior with great certainty and accuracy.) As Figure 1.2 indicates, the patterns that emerge from our observations must often be combined with simplifying assumptions to build a model. The combination of model and assumptions is what constitutes a hypothesis.

It may seem like a shaky proposition to build a hypothesis on assumptions that are accepted without proof, but making these assumptions—*consciously*—is a crucial step in making sense of the universe. All that is required is that, when formulating a hypothesis, we must be aware of these assumptions and be ready to revise or drop them if the predictions of our hypothesis are not validated. We should, in particular, watch out for what are called *hidden assumptions*—assumptions we make without being aware of them. As an example, try answering the following question. (Turn to the final section of the *Principles* volume, “Solutions to checkpoints,” for the answer.)




1.1 I have two coins in my pocket, together worth 30 cents. If one of them is not a nickel, what coins are they?

Advertising agencies and magicians are masters at making us fall into the trap of hidden assumptions. Imagine a radio commercial for a new drug in which someone says, “Baroxan lowered my blood pressure tremendously.” If you think that sounds good, you have made a number of assumptions without being aware of them—in other words, hidden assumptions. Who says, for instance, that lowering blood pressure “tremendously” is a good thing (dead people have tremendously low blood pressure) or that the speaker’s blood pressure was too high to begin with?

Magic, too, involves hidden assumptions. The trick in some magic acts is to make you assume that something happens, often by planting a false assumption in your mind. A magician might ask, “How did I move the ball from here to there?” while in reality he is using two balls. I won’t knowingly put false assumptions into *your* mind in this book, but on occasion you and I (or you and your instructor) may unknowingly make different assumptions during a given discussion, a situation that unavoidably leads to confusion and misunderstanding. Therefore it is important that we carefully analyze our thinking and watch for the assumptions that we build into our models.

If the prediction of a hypothesis fails to agree with observations made to test the hypothesis, there are several ways to address the discrepancy. One way is to rerun the test to see if it is reproducible. If the test keeps producing the same result, it becomes necessary to revise the hypothesis, rethink the assumptions that went into it, or reexamine the original observations that led to the hypothesis.

Figure 1.2 Iterative process for developing a scientific hypothesis.



Exercise 1.2 Dead music player

A battery-operated portable music player fails to play when it is turned on. Develop a hypothesis explaining why it fails to play, and then make a prediction that permits you to test your hypothesis. Describe two possible outcomes of the test and what you conclude from the outcomes. (*Think* before you peek at the answer below.)

SOLUTION There are many reasons the player might not turn on. Here is one example. Hypothesis: The batteries are dead. Prediction: If I replace the batteries with new ones, the player should work. Possible outcomes: (1) The player works once the new batteries are installed, which means the hypothesis is supported; (2) the player doesn't work after the new batteries are installed, which means the hypothesis is not supported and must be either modified or discarded.



1.2 In Exercise 1.2, each of the conclusions drawn from the two possible outcomes contains a hidden assumption. What are the hidden assumptions?

The development of a scientific hypothesis is often more complicated than suggested by Figures 1.1 and 1.2. Hypotheses do not always start with observations; some are developed from incomplete information, vague ideas, assumptions, or even complete guesses. The refining process also has its limits. Each refinement adds complexity, and at some point the complexity outweighs the benefit of the increased accuracy. Because we like to think that the universe has an underlying simplicity, it might be better to scrap the hypothesis and start anew.

Figure 1.2 gives an idea of the skills that are useful in doing science: interpreting observations, recognizing patterns, making and recognizing assumptions, thinking logically, developing models, and using models to make predictions. It should not come as any surprise to you that many of these skills are useful in just about any context. Learning physics allows you to sharpen these skills in a very rigorous way. So, whether you become a financial analyst, a doctor, an engineer, or a research scientist (to name just a few possibilities), there is a good reason to take physics.

Figure 1.1 also shows that doing science—and physics in particular—involves two types of reasoning: *inductive*, which is arguing from the specific to the general, and *deductive*, arguing from the general to the specific. The most creative part of doing physics involves inductive reasoning, and this fact sheds light on how you might want to learn physics. One way, which is neither very useful nor very satisfying, is for me to simply tell you all the general principles physicists presently agree on and then for you to apply those principles in examples and exercises (Figure 1.3a). This approach involves deductive reasoning only and robs you of the opportunity to learn the skill that is the most likely to benefit your career: discovering underlying patterns. Another way is for me to present you with data and observations and make you part of the discovery and refinement of the physics principles (Figure 1.3b). This approach

Figure 1.3

(a) Learning science by applying established principles



(b) Learning science by discovering those principles for yourself before applying them



is more time-consuming, and sometimes you may wonder why I'm not just *telling* you the final outcome. The reason is that discovery and refinement are at the heart of doing physics!




1.3 After reading this section, reflect on your goals for this course. Write down what you would like to accomplish and why you would like to accomplish this. Once you have done that, turn to the final section of the *Principles* volume, “Solutions to checkpoints,” and compare what you have written with what I wrote.

1.2 Symmetry

One of the basic requirements of any law of the universe involves what physicists call *symmetry*, a concept often associated with order, beauty, and harmony. We can define **symmetry** as follows: An object exhibits symmetry when certain operations can be performed on it without changing its appearance. Consider the equilateral triangle in Figure 1.4a. If you close your eyes and someone rotates the triangle by 120° while you have your eyes closed, the triangle appears

Figure 1.4

(a) Rotational symmetry: Rotating an equilateral triangle by 120° doesn't change how it looks



(b) Reflection symmetry: Across each reflection axis (labeled R), two sides of the triangle are mirror images of each other





Figure 1.5 The symmetrical arrangement of atoms in a salt crystal gives these crystals their cubic shape.

(a) Micrograph of salt crystals



(b) Symmetrical arrangement of atoms in a salt crystal



(c) Da Vinci's Vitruvian Man shows the reflection symmetry of the human body



the same when you open your eyes, and you can't tell that it has been rotated. The triangle is said to have *rotational symmetry*, one of several types of geometrical symmetry.

Another common type of geometrical symmetry, *reflection symmetry*, occurs when one half of an object is the mirror image of the other half. The equilateral triangle in Figure 1.4 possesses reflection symmetry about the three axes shown in Figure 1.4b. If you imagine folding the triangle in half over each axis, you can see that the two halves are identical. Reflection symmetry occurs all around us: in the arrangement of atoms in crystals (Figure 1.5a and b) and in the anatomy of most life forms (Figure 1.5c), to name just two examples.

The ideas of symmetry—that something appears unchanged under certain operations—apply not only to the shape of objects but also to the more abstract realm of physics. If there are things we can do to an experiment that leave the result of the experiment unchanged, then the phenomenon tested by the experiment is said to possess certain symmetries. Suppose we build an apparatus, carry out a certain measurement in a certain location, then move the apparatus to another location, repeat the measurement, and get the same result in both locations.* By moving the apparatus to a new location (*translating* it) and obtaining the same result, we have shown that the observed phenomenon has *translational symmetry*. Any physical law that describes this phenomenon must therefore mathematically exhibit translational symmetry; that is, the mathematical expression of this law must be independent of the location.

Likewise, we expect any measurements we make with our apparatus to be the same at a later time as at an earlier time; that is, translation in time has no effect on the measurements. The laws describing the phenomenon we are

studying must therefore mathematically exhibit symmetry under translation in time; in other words, the mathematical expression of these laws must be independent of time.

Exercise 1.3 Change is no change

Figure 1.6 shows a snowflake. Does the snowflake have rotational symmetry? If yes, describe the ways in which the flake can be rotated without changing its appearance. Does it have reflection symmetry? If yes, describe the ways in which the flake can be split in two so that one half is the mirror image of the other.

Figure 1.6 Exercise 1.3.




SOLUTION I can rotate the snowflake by 60° or a multiple of 60° (120° , 180° , 240° , 300° , and 360°) in the plane of the photograph without changing its appearance (Figure 1.7a). It therefore has rotational symmetry.


I can also fold the flake in half along any of the three blue axes and along any of the three red axes in Figure 1.7b. The flake therefore has reflection symmetry about all six of these axes.

Figure 1.7

(a) Rotational symmetry



(b) Reflection symmetry



*In moving our apparatus, we must take care to move any relevant external influences along with it. For example, if Earth's gravity is of importance, then moving the apparatus to a location in space far from Earth does not yield the same result.

A number of such symmetries have been identified, and the basic laws that govern the inner workings of the physical world must reflect these symmetries. Some of these symmetries are familiar to you, such as translational symmetry in space or time. Others, like electrical charge or parity symmetry, are unfamiliar and surprising and go beyond the scope of this course. Whereas symmetry has always implicitly been applied to the description of the universe, it plays an increasingly important role in physics: In a sense the quest of physics in the 21st century is the search for (and test of) symmetries because these symmetries are the most fundamental principles that all physical laws must obey.



1.4 You always store your pencils in a cylindrical case. One day while traveling in the tropics, you discover that the cap, which you have placed back on the case day in, day out for years, doesn't fit over the case. What do you conclude?

1.3 Matter and the universe

The goal of physics is to describe all that happens in the universe. Simply put, the **universe** is the totality of matter and energy combined with the space and time in which all events happen—everything that is directly or indirectly observable. To describe the universe, we use *concepts*, which are ideas or general notions used to analyze natural phenomena.* To provide a quantitative description, these concepts must be expressed quantitatively, which requires defining a procedure for measuring them. Examples are the length or mass of an object, temperature, and time intervals. Such **physical quantities** are the cornerstones of physics. It is the accurate measurement of physical quantities that has led to the great discoveries of physics. Although many of the fundamental concepts we use in this book are familiar ones, quite a few are difficult to define in words, and we must often resort to defining these concepts in terms of the procedures used to measure them.

The fundamental physical quantity by which we map out the universe is **length**—a distance or an extent in space. The length of a straight or curved line is measured by comparing the length of the line with some standard length. In 1791, the French Academy of Sciences defined the standard unit for length, called the **meter** and abbreviated m, as one ten-millionth of the distance from the equator to the North Pole. For practical reasons, the standard was redefined in 1889 as the distance between two fine lines engraved on a bar of platinum-iridium alloy kept at the International Bureau of Weights and Measures near Paris. With the advent of lasers, however, it became possible to measure the speed of light with extraordinary accuracy, and so the meter was redefined in 1983 as the distance traveled

by light in vacuum in a time interval of $1/299,792,458$ of a second. This number is chosen so as to make the speed of light exactly 299,792,458 meters per second and yield a standard length for the meter that is very close to the length of the original platinum-iridium standard. This laser-based standard is final and will never need to be revised.



1.5 Based on the early definition of the meter, one ten-millionth of the distance from the equator to the North Pole, what is Earth's radius?

Now that we have defined a standard for length, let us use this standard to discuss the structure and size scales of the universe. Because of the extraordinary range of size scales in the universe, we shall round off any values to the nearest power of ten. Such a value is called an **order of magnitude**. For example, any number between 0.3 and 3 has an order of magnitude of 1 because it is within a factor of 3 of 1; any number greater than 3 and equal to or less than 30 has an order of magnitude of 10. You determine the order of magnitude of any quantity by writing it in scientific notation and rounding the coefficient in front of the power of ten to 1 if it is equal to or less than 3 or to 10 if it is greater than 3.† For example, 3 minutes is 180 s, which can be written as 1.8×10^2 s. The coefficient, 1.8, rounds to 1, and so the order of magnitude is 1×10^2 s = 10^2 s. The quantity 680, to take another example, can be written as 6.8×10^2 ; the coefficient 6.8 rounds to 10, and so the order of magnitude is $10 \times 10^2 = 10^3$. And Earth's circumference is 40,000,000 m, which can be written as 4×10^7 m; the order of magnitude of this number is 10^8 m. You may think that using order-of-magnitude approximations is not very scientific because of the lack of accuracy, but the ability to work effectively with orders of magnitude is a key skill not just in science but also in any other quantitative field of endeavor.


All ordinary matter in the universe is made up of basic building blocks called **atoms** (Figure 1.8). Nearly all the matter in an atom is contained in a dense central nucleus, which consists of **protons** and **neutrons**, two types of subatomic particles. A tenuous *cloud* of **electrons**, a third type of subatomic particle, surrounds this nucleus. Atoms are spherical and have a diameter of about 10^{-10} m. Atomic nuclei are also spherical, with a diameter of about 10^{-15} m, making atoms mostly empty space. Atoms attract one another when they are a small distance apart but resist being squeezed into one another. The arrangement of atoms in a material determines the properties of the material.

Figure 1.9 shows the relative size of some representative objects in the universe. The figure reveals a lot about the organization of matter in the universe and serves as a visual model of the structure of the universe. Roughly

*When an important concept is introduced in this book, the main word pertaining to the concept is printed in **boldface type**. All important concepts introduced in a chapter are listed at the end of the chapter, in the Chapter Glossary.

†The reason we use 3 in order-of-magnitude rounding, and not 5 as in ordinary rounding, is that orders of magnitude are logarithmic, and on this logarithmic scale $\log 3 = 0.48$ lies nearly halfway between $\log 1 = 0$ and $\log 10 = 1$.

Figure 1.8 Scanning tunneling microscope image showing the individual atoms that make up a silicon surface. The size of each atom is about 1/50,000 the width of a human hair.



speaking, there is clustering of matter from smaller to larger at four length scales. At the subatomic scale, most of the matter in an atom is compressed into the tiny atomic nucleus, a cluster of subatomic particles. Atoms, in turn, cluster to form the objects and materials that surround us, from viruses to plants, animals, and other everyday objects. The next level is the clustering of matter in stars, some of which, such as the Sun, are surrounded by planets like Earth. Stars, in turn cluster to form galaxies. As we shall discuss in Chapter 7, this clustering of matter reveals a great deal about the way different objects interact with one another.

Exercise 1.4 Tiny universe

If all the matter in the observable universe were squeezed together as tightly as the matter in the nucleus of an atom, what order of magnitude would the diameter of the universe be?


SOLUTION From Figure 1.9 I see that there are about 10^{80} atoms in the universe. I can arrange these atoms in a cube that has 10^{27} atoms on one side because such a cube could accommodate $10^{27} \times 10^{27} \times 10^{27} = 10^{81}$ atoms. Given that the diameter of a nucleus is about 10^{-15} m, the length of a side of this cube would be

$$(10^{27} \text{ atoms})(10^{-15} \text{ m per atom}) = 10^{12} \text{ m},$$

which is a bit larger than the diameter of Earth's orbit around the Sun.

An alternative method for obtaining the answer is to realize that the matter in a single nucleus occupies a cubic volume of about $(10^{-15} \text{ m})^3 = 10^{-45} \text{ m}^3$. If all the matter in the universe were squeezed together just as tightly, it would occupy a volume of about 10^{80} times the volume of an atomic nucleus, or $10^{80} \times 10^{-45} \text{ m}^3 = 10^{35} \text{ m}^3$. The side of a cube of this volume is equal to the cube root of 10^{35} m^3 , or $4.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}$, which is the same order of magnitude as my first answer.

Figure 1.9 A survey of the size and structure of the universe.



1.6 Imagine magnifying each atom in an apple to the size of the apple. What would the diameter of the apple then be?

1.4 Time and change

Profound and mysterious, time is perhaps the greatest enigma in physics. We all know what is meant by *time*, but it is difficult, if not impossible, to explain the idea in words. (Put the book down for a minute and try defining *time* in words before reading on.) One way to describe time is that it is the infinite continual progression of events in the past, present, and future, often experienced as a force that moves the world along. This definition is neither illuminating nor scientifically meaningful because it merely relates the concept of time to other, even less well-defined notions. Time is defined by the rhythm of life, by the passing of days, by the cycle of the seasons, by birth and death. However, even though many individual phenomena, such as the 24-hour cycle of the days, the cycle of seasons, and the swinging of a pendulum, are repetitive, the time we experience does not appear to be repetitive, and the current view is that time is a continuous succession of events.

The irreversible flow of time controls our lives, pushing us inexorably forward from the past to the future. Whereas we can freely choose our location and direction in all three dimensions in space, time flows in a single direction, dragging us forward with it. Time thus presents less symmetry than the three dimensions of space: Although opposite directions in space are equivalent, opposite directions in time are not equivalent. The “arrow of time” points only into the future, a direction we define as the one we have no memory of. Curiously, most of the laws of physics have no requirement that time has to flow in one direction only, and it is not until Chapter 19 that we can begin to understand why events in time are irreversible.

The arrow of time allows us to establish a *causal relationship* between events. For example, lightning causes thunder and so lightning has to occur *before* the thunder. This statement is true for all observers: No matter who is watching the storm and no matter where that storm is happening, every observer first sees a lightning bolt and only after that hears the thunder because an effect never precedes its cause. Indeed, the very organization of our thoughts depends on the **principle of causality**:

Whenever an event A causes an event B, all observers see event A happening first.

Without this principle, it wouldn't be possible to develop any scientific understanding of how the world works. (No physics course to take!) The principle of causality also makes it possible to state a definition: **Time** is a physical quantity that allows us to determine the sequence in which related events occur.

To apply the principle of causality and sort out causes and effects, it is necessary to develop devices—clocks—for keeping track of time. All clocks operate on the same principle: They repeatedly return to the same state. The rotation of Earth about its axis can serve as a clock if we note

the instant the Sun reaches its highest position in the sky on successive days. A swinging pendulum, which repeatedly returns to the same vertical position, can also serve as a clock. The time interval between two events can be determined by counting the number of pendulum swings between the events. The accuracy of time measurements can be increased by using a clock that has a large number of repetitions in a given time interval.



1.7 (a) State a possible cause for the following events: (i) The light goes out in your room; (ii) you hear a loud, rumbling noise; (iii) a check you wrote at the bookstore bounces. (b) Could any of the causes you named have occurred after their associated event? (c) Describe how you feel when you experience an event but don't know what caused it—you hear a strange noise when camping, for instance, or an unexpected package is sitting on your doorstep.

The familiar standard unit for measuring time is the **second** (abbreviated s), originally defined as $1/86,400$ of a day but currently more accurately defined as the duration of 9,192,631,770 periods of certain radiation emitted by cesium atoms. **Figure 1.10** gives an idea of the vast range of time scales in the universe.

The English physicist Isaac Newton stated, “Absolute, true, and mathematical time, of itself and from its own nature, flows equably without relation to anything external.” In other words, the notion of past, present, and future is universal—“now” for you, wherever you are at this instant, is also “now” everywhere else in the universe. Although this notion of the universality of time, which is given the name **absolute time**, is intuitive, experiments described in Chapter 14 have shown this notion to be false. Still, for many experiments and for the material we discuss in most of this book, the notion of absolute time remains an excellent approximation.

Now that we have introduced space and time, we can use these concepts to study events. Throughout this book, we focus on **change**, the transition from one state to another. Examples of change are the melting of an ice cube, motion (a change in location), the expansion of a piece of metal, the flow of a liquid. As you will see, one might well call physics the study of the changes that surround us and convey the passage of time. What is most remarkable about all this is that we shall discover that underneath all the changes we'll look at, certain properties remain *unchanged*. These properties give rise to what are called *conservation laws*, the most fundamental and universal laws of physics.

There is a profound aesthetic appeal in knowing that symmetry and conservation are the cornerstones of the laws that govern the universe. It is reassuring to know that an elegant simplicity underlies the structure of the universe and the relationship between space and time.